

Biorefinery, the bridge between Agriculture and Chemistry

Utrecht 22 September 2005

Johan Sanders
Professor Valorisation of Plant Production Chains
Wageningen University and Researchcenter



An Outlook & Questions to be answered

- Can energy production, transport fuels and base chemicals, based on plant breeding and processing, compete with production based on fossile materials?
- High volume chemicals or just bulk energy?
- Is there enough biomass? Where is the best place on earth? Netherlands, Poland, Developing World?
- Should there be one general intermediate or should we benefit from the synthesis opportunities in plants?
- Small scale or large scale operations?
- Who will take the lead?

There will be enough Biomass for 15% energy substitution (2050)

		% landarea WW	EJ/year
Non collected Straw	(50 %)	12*	75
Collected waste processing	(50 %)	12*	45
'Invisible' losses	(50 %)	15*	75
Forest / pastures	(10 %)	2*	150
Dedicated Crops land (sea)	(3 %)	3 (1 %)	300 (300)
Total		12 - 20 *	645 (945)

* More or less the same area

Total energy required (2050) 1000 EJ

Biomass can have different applications and contributions..

	Integral cost prices (€/GJ end product)	Raw material cost <i>fossile</i> (€/GJ)	Netherlands energy is 3000PJ
Heat	4	3 (coal)	+/- 20%
Electricity	22	6 (coal)	+/- 20%
Transport fuel	10	8 (oil)	+/- 20%
Average bulk chemicals	75	30 (oil)	+/- 20%
Rest			+/- 20%

Biomass can bring different contributions to the farmer (€/ha)

Assuming a yield of 10 tonnes dry weight per hectare, being 160 GJ,
(or 20 tonnes whole crop yield, 320 GJ/ha)


€/hectare

- All Energy at coal value : 640 ---
- All transportfuel :1360 ---
- All bulkchemical :6400 ---
- **20% bulkchemical, 80% Energy** :1800 - **3600**
- **20% bulkchemical, 20% fuel, 60% Energy** :1940 - **3880**

Using *all crop* and good agricultural practices up to double values could be obtain

The separated components of grass value 700 - 800€/to as compared to 50 - 70 €/ton raw materials

Fresh grass



Pilot biorefinery line Foxhol (Groningen) (Prograss)

Consortium)



Grass protein (products)

white grass protein

compound feed

Green grass protein

Protein

Grass juice

Grass juice concentrate



compound feed



Ethanol

+

.....

Fibers



Potting soil

Fibers




Construction material + paper



Polymer extrusion products

New routes to industrially important

1,4-Ethanediamine : rubber chemicals, pharma, lubricants, detergents



Costs breakdown of Bulkchemicals (€/ton) at

40\$/bbl

non-functionalised functionalised

Raw materials

200

650

Capital

300-500

400-650

Operational

50

50

Recovery

50-100

50-100


Total

725

1300


Functionalised chemicals can be made from Biomass

without major enthalpy differences, but not from naphtha



Biorefining will give Mitigation under Economic


125M hectares = 0,8% world land
 conditions



75 billion €

60 €/ton biomass


minus 1200 Mton CO₂



97 billion €

80 €/ton biomass

minus 1200 Mton CO₂



180 billion €

140 €/ton biomass

minus 1500 Mton CO₂


Developments that will improve the biomass

- *Low material prize*
- *better refinery technologies*
- *GMO to tailor make products*
- *new material-properties*
- *small scale technology and integrations that can give more income to the farmer*

Using the potential components of Potato



Starch potato → Potato starch



Project: BIOFOAM (EU KP5, QLK-1999-

Goal 2008 Development of new polymers (poly(ester)amide) based on renewable feedstocks for industrial foam applications

Results

- Successful integrated synthetic route(s) from a biobased origin:
 - 1,2-ethanediamine, 1,4-butanediamine, 1,2-ethanediol, 1,4-butanediol, adipic acid, ϵ -caprolactone.
- Monomer quality (purity) acceptable for polymer applications
- Successful polymer formation for foam applications.



Small scale (pre) processing technology

Advantages

- less transport
- short recycle streams
- new integrations (energy, organisation, labour,...)
- product and chain innovations


Disadvantages:

- Economy of scale?



Examples:

- cassave
- grass
- multifold application of energy?

Forward integration reduces transport cost and seasonality and will give more income to the farmer



Small scale offers innovative heat generation



Mobile Cassava starch refinery in Africa



Source: Duteso



Conclusions

- Biorefinery increases the value of the individual biomass components (cf Pigs are not converted all to meatballs!)
- (platform) chemicals can be derived from biomass under economic conditions. For the moment functionalized chemicals offer the best chances to compete with petrochemical processes
- Small scale (pre)processing offers economic advantages and potential forward integration to the farmer
- Energy production can optimise the biorefining of biomass to chemicals and fuels

Afsluiting

© Wageningen UR



Benutting van bulkchemicaliënwaarde verhoogt grondstofwaarde van biomassa van 60 naar 140 €/ton

	Eindwaarde (G€)	(fossiel) grondstof waarde	Fossiel €J/y input	Mtonnen biomassa input	€/ton biomassa
Bulkchemicaliën	375	90-120	15-20	250	360-480
Ethanol	75	45	7.5	500	90
Elektriciteit	80	30	7.5	500	60
Totaal	525	65-195	30-35	1250	130-150

6 €/GJ = 50 \$/bbl

4 €/GJ = kolen